### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M07220
Date Received: 06/01/11
Date Extracted: 06/03/11
Date Analyzed: 06/03/11
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012 106012-01 x10

106012-01 x10.055

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 80 Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium Nickel Copper Zinc

Analyte:

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012

 Date Extracted:
 06/03/11
 Lab ID:
 I1-379 mb

 Date Analyzed:
 06/03/11
 Data File:
 I1-379 mb.049

 Matrix:
 Water
 Instrument:
 ICPMS1

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)
 Operator:
 AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 69 60 125

germanium 69 60

Concentration
ug/L (ppb)

Chromium
Nickel
Copper
Classian
Cl

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 06/06/11 Date Received: 06/01/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 106019-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.68	89	90	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.94	98 b	97 b	73-119	1 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	202	<b>101</b> b	128 b	50-144	<b>24</b> b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	571	77 b	112 b	46-148	37 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent								
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance Criteria					
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS						
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	92	66-135					
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	67-134					
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	66-134					
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	57-135					

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

100012		2	SAN	APLE CHA	IN OF C	UST	OD	Υ	M	<i>=</i>	06	/o/ <sub>/</sub>	/11	52		AIY
106012				SAMPLERS (signature)							Page # of					
Send Report To Gerzes 1 Hampson				PROJECT NAME/NO. PO #							TURNAROUND TIME  O Standard (2 Works)  ORUSH  CC					
Company ALASKAN Copper Works				MESTED SECEMON, TOK MO7230						c	Rush charges authorized by:					
Address 628 S. Hawford St				REMARKS							_	SAMPLE DISPOSAL				
City, State, ZIP SEATHE WA 98/34				REPLACES								☐ Dispose after 30 days ☐ Return samples				
Phone # 256-571-6033 Fax # 200-352-4303				☐ Will call with instructions								structions				
<del> </del>				ANALYSES, REQUESTED												
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260 SVOCs by 8270	HFS	CRCG NEZ					Notes
M O TEZE	01	6/1/11	<b>\$</b> 12:30	Hz-0	/		1				1	0				
2							Ť	+		363		1	+	+	+	
							1	$\dagger$							1	
							+	+	-			+		+	+	
		-			15		-	+				+		+	1	
						1	+	+				+	- 6	+	<u> </u>	
							+	+	+			+		+	<u> </u>	
							+	+	+			-		+		
								_	190					1		<del>- st</del>
	1. 1							$\perp$								
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Relinanthed by	SIGNATU	RE	PRINT NAME				COMPANY DATE TIME								
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received hy:	11.		- Whan Phan						Aces 91/11 1:437. FeBI 6/1/11 1:43						
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by			INN	uri P	na	7		$\dashv$	-					11/11	1.23
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	34							$\dashv$					-		7
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	10-10			5							9.	mnle	s ter	eived	at 20	°C

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 6, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 1, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0606R.DOC